Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy in Athletes Involved with High-Impact Sports
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Abstract

Background and purpose—Chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) is a neurodegenerative disease occurring most commonly in athletes and is caused by repeated concussive or subconcussive blows to the head. The main purpose of this review is to evaluate the published literature on chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) in athletes participating in high-impact sports. In particular, we highlight the significance of concussive and subconcussive impacts in multiple sports, elucidate the differences between clinical/pathological features of CTE and related neurodegenerative diseases, and provide an explanation for the variation in clinical presentation between athletes of different sports.

Methods—A review targeting relevant publications to CTE was performed. The PubMed/MEDLINE index was searched for keywords such as “chronic traumatic encephalopathy,” “repetitive traumatic brain injury,” “mild traumatic brain injury,” “concussion” from year 1924 through March 1, 2016.

Results—A consensus panel’s recent identification of a pathognomonic pathology in CTE, characterized by an irregular distribution of phosphorylated tau deposits, is an important step in developing consensus diagnostic criteria and clinicopathological studies. After review of major clinical studies, evidence suggests that there are clear differences in neuropathological features, clinical progression, and manifestation of symptoms between CTE and other neurodegenerative diseases. The literature suggests boxers tend to have more severe symptoms than other athletes due to more frequent rotational and shearing impacts. Data regarding genetic predispositions of CTE have been inconsistent in part due to low subject populations. Positron emission tomography imaging involving tau-binding ligands has recently proven effective in differentiating CTE from control groups and other neurodegenerative diseases.

Conclusions—Further longitudinal studies should be conducted to correlate the number of suffered concussive/subconcussive forces to the likelihood of developing chronic traumatic brain injury symptoms. Research striving for a reliable antemortem CTE diagnosis would be immensely beneficial, leading to more accurate estimates of prevalence, allowing clinicians to assess future risk of athletes’ continued participation in sports, and enabling clinicians to make appropriate preventive recommendations.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) is characterized as a progressive, neurodegenerative disease caused by repetitive mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) [1]. The vast majority of confirmed CTE cases have been in athletes competing in high-impact sports such as football and boxing, where the frequency of concussive and subconcussive forces is substantial [2].

Currently, the only established method to confirm the diagnosis of CTE is histopathological analysis of the brain postmortem. Distinct neuropathological changes are evident at both the gross and microscopic levels on autopsy, characterized by a prominent tauopathy in a pattern that differentiates CTE from other neurodegenerative diseases [3,4]. Recently, the first consensus meeting for CTE neuropathological criteria was held, substantiating CTE as a distinct disease with pathognomonic pathology [4]. Although currently no method exists for diagnosing definite CTE in living patients, nascent research is being performed to identify clinical biomarkers that would allow for accurate diagnosis ante-mortem. This would provide further insight into true incidence and prevalence rates [5] and may eventually lead to earlier intervention to improve clinical outcomes.

Neurological injury associated with participation in high-impact sports has been apparent for decades, but CTE is gaining increased attention in the media and academia more recently, due to heightened awareness, or perhaps an increasing prevalence [6]. CTE has a heterogeneous clinical presentation. Some people who suffer from frequent repetitive mTBI do not develop symptoms of CTE, while others experience early onset and rapid progression of the disease. Though development of neu-
rodegenerative disease is usually multifactorial, the differences in symptom severity between those individuals who endure similar exposure of mTBI suggest that some athletes who are involved with high-impact sports are more susceptible to developing CTE than others. The pathophysiologic mechanisms of CTE are still being elucidated, and no consensus has been reached to date [7–9].

In this review, we have provided a summary of the most relevant research on the following aspects of CTE: (1) epidemiology of CTE, (2) clinical manifestations between athletes of different sports, (3) genetic predispositions of CTE, (4) discuss the existing in vivo models that simulate CTE, (5) review clinical studies regarding CTE, and (6) highlight the potential for clinical biomarkers and imaging techniques that aid in the diagnosis of CTE in vivo.

SEARCH STRATEGY

We reviewed the published literature relevant to CTE both in preclinical and in clinical settings. The PubMed/MEDLINE index was searched for keywords such as “chronic traumatic encephalopathy,” “repetitive traumatic brain injury,” “mild traumatic brain injury,” “neurodegenerative diseases,” “tauopathy,” “neurofibrillary tangles,” “apolipoprotein (Apo)E4,” and “concussion.” The search was conducted from the year 1924 through March 1, 2016.

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF CTE

It is estimated that between 1.6 and 3.8 million sports-related mTBIs occur annually, and sport-related head injuries make up 20% of TBI cases in the United States each year [10,11]. This may be an underestimate, as mTBI frequently goes unreported at multiple levels in a variety of sports [12–16]. As the number of athletes at the high school, collegiate, and professional levels continues to increase, related concussive injuries may rise proportionally [17,18]. Incidence of reported mTBI is significant in high-impact sports such as American football, boxing, ice hockey, and rugby [19,20]. The incidence of concussion in American football is particularly alarming due to the large number of total participants across a variety of levels [20]. Broglio et al. [21] suggest that, on average, high school football players sustain 24 head impacts per game that exceed 14 g-force. Similar results have been shown in male collegiate hockey players, indicating that a variety of athletes endure hundreds of significant head impacts per season [21,22]. Recent advances in protective equipment act to mitigate the effects of high-impact forces; however, an athlete’s perceived lower level of risk may spur more risky behavior during games, leading to more severe mTBI impacts [23].

The incidence and prevalence of CTE in athletes due to repetitive mTBI is largely unknown, as most data regarding the disease have been analyzed retrospectively after patients are deceased [2]. A lack of clearly defined neuropathological and clinical diagnostic criteria has inhibited large epidemiological studies from going into effect [24]. Among longitudinal studies linking TBI to neurodegenerative symptoms in living patients, it is unclear whether patients’ clinical presentation fully resembles CTE, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), frontotemporal dementia (FTD), Parkinson’s disease (PD), motor neuron disease (MND), or a combination of these diseases [24], even though the average age of symptom onset for these neurodegenerative diseases is different. Still, previous research has found a significant association between a single mTBI event and chronic cognitive impairment, which is frequently seen in those presenting with CTE [25–27]. Additionally, a retrospective analysis of over 3400 American professional football players indicates three times as many deaths from neurodegenerative disease compared to the general population, and recent research shows that CTE may be the primary or secondary cause of many of these deaths [28]. In professional boxers, it has been suggested that mTBI leads to CTE at least 17% of the time; longer careers and higher number of bouts is associated with higher CTE incidence [7].

It is important to note the limitations in estimating incidence and prevalence of CTE within the current research climate. Currently, CTE can only be diagnosed through histopathological analysis postmortem after athletes agree to donate their brains for neurodegenerative disease research. Performing autopsy on all athletes exposed to repetitive mTBI is not practical; between 1954 and 2013, only 153 athletes were confirmed to have CTE by neuropathological criteria [2]. A recent study by McKee et al. found 80% of examined athletes’ brains showing evidence of CTE [1]. However, any estimates of prevalence from such a subject group are unwarranted, as they may not represent the general athlete population and may be inflated by referral bias [24]. A validation of consensus diagnostic criteria could be the first step to reporting accurate incidence and prevalence rates.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

Core Symptoms

The number of confirmed CTE cases is greatest among boxers and football players; however, CTE has also been
diagnosed in soccer, ice hockey, wrestling, and rugby players [2]. Case reports suggest differences in clinical presentation of CTE between various types of athletes, suggesting that mechanisms inherent to a sport may influence the rapidity of onset and severity of illness [29]. Recently, there has been an increased interest in the clinical features thought to spur CTE, particularly in professional football players. In the past, boxers who were diagnosed with CTE were reported to have severe neuropsychiatric symptoms, pseudobulbar signs (dysarthria and dysphagia), motor and language disturbances, and a Parkinsonian-like syndrome [5]. More recently, likely due to increased awareness, athletes are more frequently being diagnosed with milder CTE symptoms [5].

Symptoms associated with CTE are varied, nonspecific, and present insidiously, which leads to a delayed diagnosis occurring years after trauma [30]. Clinical symptoms of CTE (see Table 1 for details) manifest themselves in four main areas: cognition, mood, behavior, and motor. By 2009, the average age of onset for 46 athletes with histopathologically confirmed CTE cases was 42.8 years (range 25–76 years, SD=12.7) [31]. A recent review conducted by Montenigro et al. [29] analyzed athletes with repetitive TBI (rTBI). They characterized core cognitive features appearing in over 70% of confirmed cases of CTE, including memory impairment, executive dysfunction, and reduced attention span. Common behavioral symptoms include physical and verbal abuse, and mercurial behavior (possibly due to pseudobulbar affect). Regarding mood, core symptoms involve depression and feelings of hopelessness. No motor symptoms were found in over 70% of confirmed CTE cases, but severe cases involved ataxia, dysarthria, abnormal gait, Parkinsonism, and tremor [29]. The clinical manifestations of CTE can be separated into two areas: one primarily affecting mood/behavior and the other affecting primarily cognitive function [32]. Based on analysis of 36 male athletes with confirmed CTE from the Boston University brain bank, those who had behavior and mood dysfunction had much earlier onset of symptoms than those with cognitive impairment [32]. Studies with larger sample sizes are needed to see if this characterization is representative of all athletes diagnosed with CTE.

### Table 1. Clinical and pathological features of CTE and CTE mimics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Clinical Features</th>
<th>Pathological Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTE</td>
<td>MRI, CT, SPECT</td>
<td>M-CT, SPECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Cognitive, memory, affective disorder</td>
<td>Neuronal and glial senile plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, tau deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTD</td>
<td>Cognitive, personality, behavioral changes</td>
<td>Focal atrophy, neuronal loss, white matter changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson's disease</td>
<td>Motor, cognitive dysfunction</td>
<td>Dystonia, rigidity, bradykinesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric disorder</td>
<td>Depression, anxiety, obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular disease</td>
<td>Migraine headaches</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD = Alzheimer's disease; CTE = Chronic traumatic encephalopathy; FTD = Frontotemporal dementia; NFTs = neurofibrillary tangles; PCS = postconcussive syndrome; TDP = TAR DNA-binding protein.
McKee et al. [1] recently characterized four main pathological stages of CTE, with symptoms progressively worsening with extent of pathological changes. Stage I is characterized by headache, loss of concentration and reduced attention span; Stage II includes additional symptoms of depression, short term memory loss, and impulsive behavior; Stage III adds clinical presentation of further cognitive impairment; Stage IV involves language dysfunction and increased aggression [1].

**Mimics of CTE**

Clinical diagnosis of CTE remains challenging due to symptomatic overlap with other neurodegenerative diseases, such as AD, chronic postconcussive syndrome (CPCS), and FTD (Table 1). Although CTE cases show prolonged progression, the average age at death is younger than other neurodegenerative diseases. In CPCS, similar symptoms such as headache, poor memory, reduced concentration, personality changes, and depressive behavior arise. Up to 15% of these individuals have symptoms that persist after one year [32]. However, CTE can be differentiated from CPCS from the patient’s comprehensive history, which includes repetitive incidence of mTBI. CPCS generally occurs after one TBI incident and symptoms manifest early, whereas in those patients with CTE, symptoms are insidious and typically present 8 to 10 years after rTBI [1]. In FTD, symptoms manifest themselves around age 45-65 and progress quite rapidly [33]. The analysis of McKee et al. [1] shows progression of CTE between each stage of CTE to be 11 to 14 years. Similar to CPCS, FTD, and AD patients generally do not have history of rTBI, which is a hallmark for those diagnosed with CTE. Other mimics of CTE include Parkinson’s disease, vascular dementia, and psychiatric disease (Table 1).

**CTE PATHOLOGY**

**Gross Neuropathology**

Neuropathology varies based on the stage of the disease. In mild CTE, the brain appears grossly intact in some cases. Other cases may show enlargement of the anterior and inferior portions of the lateral ventricles. It is possible that concussive forces are transmitted through the ventricular system. In more advanced CTE, additional gross features include septal fenestrations with associated cavum septum pellucidum, further enlargement of the lateral and third ventricles, atrophy in the frontal and temporal lobes, mammillary body atrophy, and thinning of the hypothalamic floor and corpus callosum. Additionally, the locus coeruleus and substantia nigra may lose their characteristic dark color [34]. In severe cases, there may be atrophy of the hippocampus and amygdala [32]. The marked atrophy throughout many areas may result in an overall decrease in brain mass [34]. Though these changes can act as supporting evidence of CTE, macroscopic changes alone cannot bring a definitive, distinctive diagnosis.

**Microscopic Neuropathology**

Though microscopic pathological findings are similar between CTE and other neurodegenerative diseases, McKee et al. [1] has found characteristics that make CTE distinguishable. The characteristic most indicative of CTE is the presence of neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) formed in specific areas by aggregates of hyperphosphorylated tau protein, a protein normally responsible for stabilizing microtubules. Other inclusions form in both neurons and glial cells in the form of neuropil threads (NTs), neuropil neurites (NNs), and glial tangles (GTs), mainly in the frontal and temporal cortices [30,34,35]. Thus, CTE is one of the many neurodegenerative diseases, such as AD and some forms of FTD, in which a marked feature is progressive tauopathy. The structures of tau deposition in CTE and AD are virtually indistinguishable, as observed isoform ratios are comparable in both diseases [36]. However, the distribution of tau aggregation in CTE is distinctive. The tau NFTs in CTE are found in outer layers of the cerebral cortex—layers II and III. In contrast, distribution of tau in AD is mainly in layers III and V, which contains an increased proportion of larger pyramidal neurons [37]. Also unique to CTE is the nonuniform regional distribution of NFTs, found preferentially in frontal, temporal, and insular cortices and prone to aggregate close to small blood vessels and near the depths of sulci [30]. In AD, however, tau deposition is more uniform and evenly dispersed across all cortical regions [34]. CTE tangles are frequently found in the olfactory bulb, hippocampus, amygdala, entorhinal cortex, mammillary bodies, the substantia nigra, and the locus coeruleus [35]. Further, tau aggregates in cases of CTE are usually of significantly higher density than tau deposition in severe AD [34].

Multifocal axonal varicosities in both the cortex and subcortical white matter are bolstered by neurofibrillary inclusions and are manifest in many cases of CTE. In mild cases, axonal damage is restrained to the frontal cortex, subcortical white matter, and deep white matter [1]. Neuronal loss is notable in more severe cases, where it is diffuse and conspicuous in the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, and the amygdala [31].

Along with widespread tau aggregation and neuronal loss, many cases of CTE exhibit deposits of Tar-DNA-
binding protein of approximately 43 Kd (TDP-43), a protein important in the regulation of transcription and neuronal development. Elevated TDP-43 levels are common in patients diagnosed with MND. The presence of TDP-43 proteinopathy may explain the motor impairment symptoms that are frequently seen in boxers. Similar findings are present in other neurodegenerative diseases such as FTD and MND [38–41]. McKee et al. [42] analyzed brains of 12 former professional athletes diagnosed with CTE postmortem. They found 10 out of 12 to have extensive inclusion of TDP-43 in the frontal and temporal cortices, basal ganglia, diencephalon, and brainstem. Three of these athletes developed MND, in part due to TDP-43 extending to the spinal cord. Unlike FTD-TDP 43/MND, which is affiliated with ubiquitin-positive tau-negative inclusions, TDP-43 proteinopathy in CTE is coupled with tauopathy [42].

In contrast to AD, amyloid beta (Aβ) deposition is not a hallmark of CTE but is often present. Numerous groups have found differences between AD and CTE in how Aβ is dispersed.

Though neuritic Aβ plaques are essential for diagnosis of AD, they are present only in a minority of CTE cases. Rather, diffuse plaques (DP), deposits that are non-neuritic and do not disrupt the neuropil, are more common in CTE. Stein et al. [43] has recently found 52% of 60 deceased athletes and war veterans to have Aβ deposition in either diffuse or neuritic form, indicating that though there is an association of Aβ with CTE, it is by no means necessary for diagnosis.

McKee et al. [1] characterized a spectrum of neuropathology associated with the four stages of CTE. In stage I, localized tauopathy was restricted to the depths of sulci in the frontal cortex. In stage II, there is a diffuse spread of tau aggregation and neurofibrillary inclusions occurring throughout multiple layers of the cerebral cortex. Stage III shows tauopathy to be widespread, affecting the frontal, temporal, parietal, and insular cortices, with most damage done to the frontal and temporal cortices at the depths of sulci. The amygdala and hippocampus experience neurofibrillary degeneration in this stage. Stage IV involves further expansion of tauopathy into the medial temporal lobe, affecting most regions of the cerebral cortex and accompanied by neuronal loss [1]. Though there are no validated pathological consensus criteria for diagnosing CTE, multiple groups have proposed guidelines [1,30,44].

In the first National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Stroke and National Imaging of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NINDS/NIBIB) consensus meeting for neuropathology of CTE in early 2015 [4], a group of seven neuropathologists blindly examined 25 brains, each resembling one or more of the following tauopathies: CTE, AD, progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), argyrophilic grain disease (AGD), corticobasal degeneration (CBD), primary age-related tauopathy (PART), and parkinsonism-dementia complex of Guam. Neuropathologists were not given any information about patients before examination. Using preliminary criteria [1] based on review of previous literature, there was strong agreement among neuropathologists when diagnosing CTE; 90% of responses that indicated CTE as the diagnosis agreed with the presumptive diagnosis [4]. Through analysis of results, the neuropathologists established a preliminary standard requirement for the diagnosis of CTE: a lesion composed of “p-tau aggregates in neurons, astrocytes, and cell processes around small vessels in an irregular pattern at the depths of the cortical sulci” [4]. The neuropathologists also established supportive neuropathological features of CTE, involving phosphorylated-tau-related and nonphosphorylated-tau-related pathologies. These supporting features, though present in many cases of CTE, were not solely sufficient to make a definitive diagnosis. Though results from the first consensus meeting are promising in demonstrating the distinctiveness of CTE, they should be substantiated in future meetings by increasing the number of reviewing neuropathologists and using a larger sample size of diseased brains.

**Clinical and Imaging Biomarkers**

Currently, there is no definite method to diagnose CTE while patients are living; the disease can only be confirmed postmortem after histological analysis of the brain. Multiple groups are evaluating serum and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers that may be specific to CTE [45–51]. CSF biomarkers are currently used to help confirm early diagnosis of AD, so it is likely a similar approach will eventually aid in the diagnosis of CTE. The rationale for isolating CTE biomarkers in CSF is based on the known mechanisms of cerebral ventricular injury in mTBI, which leads to alteration of CSF composition. Therefore, the biochemical makeup of CSF may represent underlying pathological changes in the parenchyma [30]. It is unknown whether the CSF biomarkers would remain elevated for extended periods of time after the patient is exposed to repetitive mTBI [48]. Neselius et al. [48] studied CSF proteins in 30 Olympic boxers 1 to 6 days after a bout and after a rest period of at least 14 days. Although phosphorylated tau (p-tau) was elevated in CSF immediately after bouts, p-tau concentrations in CSF declined to baseline after the rest period. In contrast, neurofilament light polypeptide, a protein thought
to provide structure to axons as a component of the cytoskeleton, was elevated in boxers both immediately after bouts and after the rest period. These results indicate that neurofilament light polypeptide as a CSF biomarker may have the most promise, as it can be detected long after injury onset.

CSF biomarkers, even if diagnostic, require collection via an invasive lumbar puncture. Therefore, it would be more practical if the chosen biomarkers were also present in serum. Serum biomarkers have a number of problems, however. First, concentrations in plasma are typically very low, as protein diffusion from the brain to outside the blood brain barrier (BBB) is restricted, and due to a large dilution factor arising from plasma volume [52]. Additionally, serum biomarkers may not be specific to brain injury. Thus, extremely sensitive assays are needed to differentiate levels of biomarkers in control patients versus those with mTBI. A clinical challenge is to identify whether CSF and serum biomarkers are highly specific to CTE rather than other neurodegenerative diseases.

CTE Imaging

Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies are frequently used to evaluate mTBI. However, routine CT and MRI studies often fail to elucidate definite presence of brain injury due to CTE (Figure 1). Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging has emerged as a potential imaging tool that can differentiate between CTE and other neurodegenerative diseases. Multiple studies have utilized 2-(1-{6-[2- [18F]fluoroethyl}(methyl)amino)-2-naphthyl}ethylidene) malononitrile (18F-FDDNP) [53–55], a radioactive ligand administered intravenously that crosses the BBB and binds selectively, but not exclusively, to tau deposits, a hallmark of CTE. 18F-FDDNP also binds to amyloid plaques [56], and is only for investigational use at this point. Yet, researchers have found that distributions of bound 18F-FDDNP can differentiate CTE from other neurodegenerative diseases such as AD. When imaging brains of 14 retired professional football players, Barrio et al. [53] found 18F-FDDNP to be concentrated in different areas compared to controls and patients with AD. Specifically, 18F-FDDNP signal in those with suspected CTE was stronger than AD in the mesencephalon, extending to the amygdala and subcortical areas. Imaging findings coincided with previous histopathological findings post-mortem of those with confirmed CTE [53]. Figure 2 displays the distribution of 18F-FDDNP signal in suspected CTE patients versus AD patients [53].

There is yet to be a marker that binds selectively to tau only, though numerous groups are working towards developing one [57]. Once such a marker emerges, PET imaging findings may be more precise and offer definitive evidence that the distribution of tau in CTE is consistent and distinctive. Overall, PET imaging has immense potential in helping diagnose CTE ante-mortem. However, imaging by itself is not sufficient to warrant a CTE diagnosis. Although treatments for CTE are virtually nonexistent as of now, early imaging results can lead physicians to make appropriate recommenda-
uctions to athletes to minimize and impede progression of the disease.

**Type of Athletic Activity versus Clinical Presentation and Pathology of CTE**

Clinical and pathological features of CTE can manifest differently between sports, as rTBI exposure and mechanisms of impact can vary considerably. In fact, an analysis of previously reported CTE cases by Montenigro et al. [29] showed a vast difference in clinical presentation. 83% (5/6) of professional boxers, who had more debilitating motor impairments, compared to 18.8% (3/16) of professional football players. In addition, severe dentate neurofibrillary tangles were present in 17% (2/12) and 80% (4/5) of professional football players and boxers, respectively, indicating a more pernicious progression in boxers [29]. The difference in symptoms and neuropathology may be explained through the frequency of linear and rotational impact forces that occur in both sports.

Rotational forces causing angular accelerations are frequent in boxing. Boxers face their greatest danger when their opponent lands a hook punch, where impact near the lateral side of the head cause rapid outward rotation of the skull and twisting forces the brain [29]. Lateral bending of the neck can also occur, but linear forces from a punch are often below the mTBI threshold [58]. The rotational movement of the brain causes shearing forces that can lead to axonal damage [59]. Shearing forces are most prominent near areas such as the midbrain section, where glial and axonal injury could result in severely debilitating consequences [29,58].

As opposed to punches, helmet-to-helmet or helmet-to-ground contact forces cause the majority of mTBI injuries in professional football players. Viano et al. [58] have shown that in professional football concussions, inertial forces can be up to 30% greater than inertial forces in professional boxers who endure a hook punch. The greater inertial forces correlate with a higher linear acceleration endured by football players, suggesting that linear forces are prominent in causing concussive and subconcussive impacts in professional football players. In support of this mechanism, brain modeling shows that rotational accelerations from uppercuts or hook punches are much greater than rotational accelerations in professional football helmet-to-helmet impacts [58]. The linear to rotational force ratio difference between boxers and football players could explain the differences in clinical presentation between the two sports.

In professional football, helmet-to-helmet collisions can cause the head to move in the anterior or posterior direction. The incidence rates of mTBI have been shown to vary depending on position, with running backs and wide receivers suffering from mTBI more than linemen [60]. Neck musculature acts to stabilize the position of the head, and a more developed musculature is directly correlated to lowered mTBI risk [61]. Linemen have been found to have stronger necks and larger girth compared to running backs, which could act to slow linear accelerations of the head and reduce risk of mTBI [62].

The differences in neck strength between positions may explain the varying incidence rates of mTBI. Additionally, it should be noted that different player positions may be more prone to certain types of impacts—linemen may experience more frequent subconcussive helmet-to-helmet impact, while wide receivers could endure more threatening forces while being tackled. The pathological repercussions of variations in impact type and frequency between boxing and football have yet to be elucidated in full detail, but they may partially explain the difference in clinical presentation between different types of athletes.

**CTE GENETIC RISK FACTORS**

It is unclear why only some people exposed to repetitive mTBI do not develop CTE while others do. One possible explanation is that genetic factors play a role in the disease pathogenesis. ApoE4, a protein important in cholesterol transport, is a well-established major risk factor for developing AD. Saunders et al. [63] first linked ApoE4 to late-onset AD after analysis of 176 patients postautopsy. Roses et al. [64] propose that an inheritance of an ApoE4 allele leads to an 80% chance of developing late-onset AD by age 75, while Corder et al. [65] reported homozygous ApoE4 allele presentation almost always causes AD by age 80. ApoE4 expression has been linked to hyperphosphorylation of the tau protein, allowing the protein to aggregate in neurofibrillary tangles and increase risk of AD [63]. There are multiple proposed mechanisms of how ApoE4 results in an increased susceptibility to neurodegenerative disease. Numerous studies have shown a strong association between ApoE and aggregation of Aβ-peptide and plaque formation [66]. ApoE4 in particular has been found to bind with high affinity to Aβ-peptide, potentially due to its relative instability compared to ApoE2 and ApoE3 and the presence of arginine, containing a positive charge, in a specific position [66].

Given the association of ApoE4 with other neurodegenerative diseases, and as tau aggregation is also common in traumatic brain injury, researchers have investigated the effect of ApoE on susceptibility to developing CTE. 

Safinia et al.
The literature regarding ApoE4 and CTE is inconsistent with conflicting results [1,3,2,4,4,6,7,68]. Though ApoE4 has been studied quite extensively, other genes linked to neurodegeneration may also be associated with susceptibility to CTE, including the microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) gene, the progranulin (GRN) gene, the chromosome 9 open reading frame 72 (C9ORF72) gene, and the transmembrane protein 106B (TMEM106B) gene [32,69]. A recent study did not find statistically significant differences in MAPT or TMEM106B genotypes between athletes with CTE pathology (n=17) and control groups (n=408) [70]. Studies with larger sample sizes, particularly for the group harboring CTE pathology, are needed to substantiate the results.

A study by Casson et al. [71] analyzed a sample of 45 retired professional football players. They found that the ApoE4 allele was present in 38% of all the players, many who were suffering from symptoms of CTE. Interestingly, the 38% who expressed ApoE4 was considerably greater than the estimated 23% in the general population who harbor the ApoE4 allele. [71] Another study by Jordan et al. [68] looked for a relationship between ApoE genotype and CTE in thirty professional boxers. Neurological and behavioral assessments evaluated severity and extent of disease in the athletes through a chronic brain injury (CBI) score. Boxers with many bouts harboring ApoE4 had significantly increased CBI scores than those without ApoE4 expression. Additionally, all boxers with severe CBI harbored at least one ApoE4 allele. The results suggest there is an interaction between number of boxing matches and ApoE4 carrier status in which ApoE4 expression is linked to more severe indications of traumatic encephalopathy [68].

Another study conducted by Kutner et al. [67] evaluated the relationship between ApoE genotype and cognitive function. Fifty-three active professional football players underwent genotypic analysis and several neuropsychological assessments to evaluate cognitive performance. Older athletes carrying the ApoE4 gene scored significantly lower on cognitive tests compared to younger athletes with ApoE4 status or those without this variant. In fact, the older ApoE4 carriers often scored two standard deviations below the mean of the general population, suggesting there may be a time dependent effect between ApoE4 expression and cognitive decline in those with repetitive mTBI [67].

Conversely, a study by Omalu et al. [44] questioned the presumed importance of ApoE4 in CTE. Performing neuropathological and ApoE genotyping analysis in ten professional athletes and two high school athletes with CTE revealed that only three harbored the ApoE4 allele. In contrast, 90% of the professional athletes sampled had at least one ApoE3 allele, and 100% of athletes diagnosed with CTE postmortem exhibited at least one ApoE3 allele. Yet, both high school athletes who never exhibited histologic signs of CTE also harbored at least one ApoE3 allele [44]. However, the small sample size should be taken into account when considering the importance of the ApoE4 allele in CTE.

Analogous populations may provide insight into CTE pathology, as there are many overlapping characteristics between mTBI and more severe forms. Teasdale et al. [72] conducted a prospective cohort study analyzing 984 patients admitted to the neurosurgery unit with acute brain injury symptoms. Patient outcomes were tracked over time, and there was no association between ApoE genotype and severity of outcome. However, their data suggested that children and young adults who express ApoE4 are at increased risk of an unfavorable outcome [72]. It should be noted that many of the patients in the sample presented with either moderate or severe TBI. The heterogeneity of TBI included within the study introduces a limitation, as CTE arises strictly from repeated mTBI. Perhaps the most comprehensive study regarding associations between ApoE4 and TBI comes from Zhou et al., who conducted a meta-analysis of 14 prospective cohort studies that studied the possible association between ApoE4 expression and outcomes of TBI. A total of 2527 participants were analyzed—736 harboring the ApoE4 allele and 1791 without the allele. Though ApoE4 expression did not correlate with initial severity of TBI, those with the ApoE4 allele significantly fared worse six months after injury than those without the ApoE4 allele [73]. The results from a study including a wide spectrum of TBI severity, however, may not translate directly to athletes struck by repeated mTBI.

The conflicting results with ApoE genotyping analysis suggests the need for further research, ideally utilizing a large, healthy subject population to establish more definitive evidence of the relationship between ApoE4 expression and CTE. Athletes exposed to repetitive head injuries such as football, soccer, boxing, and ice hockey should be monitored as a cohort as opposed to those with general TBI to assess whether the associations between ApoE4 and repetitive mTBI continue to exist. Such cohort studies would reduce the heterogeneity of the sample populations and eliminate related confounding variables.
Hypotheses for Pathogenesis of CTE

There have been numerous proposed hypotheses to explain the neuropathology and associated clinical presentation connected to CTE. Though many hypotheses are worth investigating, the molecular pathogenesis is largely speculative at this point, and the details of hypotheses are not supported by clinical data. It is generally agreed upon that repeated impact forces to the brain are the causal factor of CTE. One of the beginning hypotheses was developed after the observation of “punch-drunk” syndrome in boxers, where Martland [7] believed repeated blunt forces induce intracerebral hemorrhages that lead to gliosis or lesions in the associated area.

Giza and Hovda [8] proposed that concussive and subconcussive impact forces lead to significant shear forces to the axons, causing the axons to have increased efflux of potassium ions and increased influx of calcium ions. The calcium influx further depolarizes the neuron and changes functional physiology. Attempting to recalibrate neuron potential, the Na\(^+\)-K\(^+\) pump is over activated and consumes more adenosine triphosphate (ATP). Due to higher amounts of ATP needed, the affected brain areas increase the demand for more glucose, which can be considerably higher than the supply of glucose. The authors [8] proposed that the discrepancy in glucose supply and demand resulted in a “cellular energy crisis”, which may exacerbate the effects of future blows to the head by inhibiting an adequate recovery response. Ionic flux has been shown to occur in animal models recapitulating concussive brain injury [74–76]. Calcium influx has been shown to be correlated with the release of caspase-7 and caspase-12, both of which can each play roles in calcium-dependent apoptosis [77]. The influx could also spur tau hyperphosphorylation and formation of neurofibrillary tangles [34].

Blaylock [9] proposed an alternate hypothesis that immunoeexcitotoxicity is the central mechanism driving CTE, caused by microglial activation from mTBI. Activated microglia release high levels of both pro and anti-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, along with three excitotoxins: glutamate, aspartate, and quinolinic acid. Pro-inflammatory signals are more prevalent directly following mTBI, while anti-inflammatory signals are released later. The presence of pro-inflammatory signals can be ominous—if blunt concussive or subconcussive forces continually occur, microglia stay in an activated state. During this activated state, microglia continue to release excitotoxins while recruiting astrocytes, the main reservoir of glutamate and aspartate. The excitotoxins released from both astrocytes and microglia further ‘excite’ neurons, leading to neurotoxic concentrations, greater vulnerability to neuronal injury, and larger propensity to develop neurofibrillary tangles from hyperphosphorylated tau inclusions [9]. Both animal and clinical studies have observed microglial activation as a key event occurring after TBI [78–84]. One study involving rat models indicates that glutamate excitotoxins are removed by related transporters in between 24 and 72 hours after a single TBI incident [85], pointing to the possibility that excitotoxins do not reach neurotoxic concentrations unless repeated concussive forces continually occur. The Blaylock hypothesis [9] could also explain the progressive nature of CTE, as aging has been shown to be associated with increased microglial activity and related neurodegeneration [86].

McKee et al. [31] approached pathogenesis from a gross rather than molecular level. They proposed mTBI leads to fluid waves within the cerebral ventricles and disturbs the flow of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). The disrupted CSF induces atypical shear stresses, which transmits to the intraventricular septum, resulting in an enlarged cavum septum and septal fenestrations. Additionally, they proposed the release of various neurotoxins and damage to the BBB may contribute to the unique distribution of tau aggregates near blood vessels in CTE [31]. It is likely that multiple pathways lead to the observed macro- and microscopic neuropathological changes.

Animal Models of CTE

To further gain insight into relationships between concussive injury and CTE symptoms, multiple in vivo models have been proposed, each with their respective advantages and disadvantages [81]. There have been preclinical models, specifically in mice, which have aimed to mimic repetitive mTBI injury [81,82,87–91]. Different pathological markers in humans, including increased microglial activation [81,90,91], astrogliosis [81,82,91], and tauopathy [81,82,91] have been replicated in murine models to some extent after repeated concussive impact exposure. Kane et al. [82] developed a novel method of inducing repetitive mTBI in mice utilizing a modified Marmarou [92] weight drop method. Pathology was assessed through histochemical staining 30 days after the last impact. Damage to the BBB and microglia activation was not evident, but there was mild astrocytic response and increased phosphorylated tau present, the hallmark of CTE. Mild balance and coordination deficits were observed. Interestingly, these mice developed an increase in locomotive activity, which potentially could be linked with CTE symptoms of reduced attention span observed in humans [82].
relatively mTBI symptoms while inducing rapid linear accelerations of the head make this model distinctive.

Petraglia et al. [81] recently characterized a novel mouse model that allows for controlled closed head injury in unanesthetized mice via a controlled cortical impact (CCI) device. For the study, 12-week-old mice received six concussive impacts daily for seven days while wearing a thin helmet, so the injury could spread over a wider surface area, aiming to accurately emulate post-concussion like symptoms. Animals were sacrificed at 7 days, 1 month, and 6 months post-injury with neuropathological examinations performed at each study time point. Though no gross pathology was evident at any of the time points, increased microglia activation, astrogliosis, and tau inclusions were conspicuous in the cortex and amygdala in all three delayed time points [81]. Significant microscopic features of CTE were thus recapitulated in this model.

Though preclinical models can certainly enhance our understanding of neurodegenerative disorders such as CTE, one should be wary of directly translating the results to humans. First, lifespan of mice is approximately 2–3 years, and CTE symptoms in humans normally manifest 8-10 years after TBI injury. Thus, “chronic” effects in mice may not fully capture symptoms over the same duration. Nonhuman primates would be desirable to recapitulate human symptoms, yet this would substantially increase number of resources, training, and cost associated with studies. Further, brain size and cell density differ considerably between humans and mice. Human brains are approximately 2700 times larger than a mouse brain and contain 1200 times as many neurons with significantly more myelin [93]. The massively greater number of neurons and their respective interconnections in humans explain the white matter/gray matter ratio of 60:40 in humans rather than 10:90 found in mice. Additionally, humans have a higher glial/neuron ratio. A combination of these factors may explain the unique metabolic needs of the human brain and its distinctive susceptibility to neurodegenerative disease [93]. Further, CTE in humans has shown neurofibrillary tangles to originate in the depths of sulci. However, mouse models cannot demonstrate this neuropathological feature, as rodent brains are smooth and lack the sulcal pattern found in the human brain [93]. Other factors that prove difficult to model include age at onset of injury and duration of mTBI. Therefore, researchers should be cautious when interpreting data from experimental models and extrapolating it to humans.

Conclusions and Future Research

CTE research has burgeoned over the last decade, as the repercussions of high-impact sports are beginning to reach the American public. Though genetic risk factors have been proposed, many of the nontrauma-related risk factors are unknown or have yet to be substantiated. We suggest that the underlying pathophysiology of the ApoE4 protein and its mechanisms contributing to symptoms of CTE should be studied in more detail, so results of cohort studies can be substantiated. Further epidemiological and longitudinal research should be conducted to address associations between number and severity of injuries and propensity to develop chronic injury, as well as correlations between neuropathological findings and changes in neurobehavior/cognition. Neuropathological findings have been elucidated over the last few years, with a spectrum of pathology corresponding to severity of clinical presentation. The first NINDS/NIBIB consensus meeting has taken an important step to clearly define neuropathology. Additional clinicopathological correlation studies would be useful in determining pathognomonic symptoms for CTE. Currently, though estimates have been proposed, the incidence and prevalence of CTE is uncertain because in vivo diagnosis is not considered accurate or reliable. The emergence of clinical biomarkers is exciting, as PET imaging has been utilized with tau-specific ligands and holds great promise in early diagnosis. We believe the most important field of research should be focused on developing an accurate in vivo diagnostic method in the early stages of the disease. Reliable antemortem diagnoses can lead to more accurate incidence and prevalence rates, direct evaluation of clinical and pathological progression, and therapeutic studies. CTE, like other consequences of TBI, poses a great clinical challenge in both diagnosis and treatment. Future research must take into consideration analysis of optimal helmets with adequate shock absorbing mechanisms, modifications to rules regarding some of the sports, and other preventive measures. Furthermore, more sensitive neurobehavioral diagnostic tools/assessment scales, including routine cognitive tests (tailored for TBI) and eye movement tracking techniques [94], combined with clinicopathologic data can help physicians and athletes assess their future risk of continued participation in sports. CTE is a complex disease, and there are no published studies that have investigated the role of steroids in the pathophysiology. As far as we know, steroid use is associated with increased risk for depression, suicide, rhabdomyolysis and corticosteroid induced myopathy. However, the presence of depression is associated with worse performance on cognitive tests and may contribute to poor clinical outcome.
in CTE patients that also needs to be investigated in future studies.
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